EFFICACY AND SAFETY OF PEDIATRIC URETEROSCOPY IN THE TREATMENT OF URETERIC CALCULI IN CHILDREN

ABDULGHAFOOR SULAIMAN ABDULKAREEM, MBCHB, FICMS * SAUD HUSSEIN MOUSSA, MBCHB, FICMS **

Submitted 10/6/2018; accepted 2/12/2018

ABSTRACT

Background:To evaluate the efficacy and safety of pediatric ureteroscopy and Holmium: YAG laser lithotripsy in the management of ureteric calculi in children.

Patients and Methods: A Prospective study of 49 children (age ranged 2-13 years) with ureteric calculi underwent ureteroscopy with a semi-rigid 6 Fr Karl Storzureteroscope and Holmium: YAG laser lithotripsy. The stone size ranged from 4-14 mm depending on US, KUB, IVU and CT scan in some cases. All the procedures were done under general anaesthesia and on lithotomy position, and JJ stents inserted at the end of the procedure.

Results:Of 49 patients, 43 patients were stone free after first ureteroscopic lithotripsy and after a second ureteroscopy in five patients, one patient developed ureteric injury and treated with open ureterolithotomy.Post-operative complications encountered in 11(22%) of cases, 7 cases with fever, 3 with gross hematuria and one with urinary extravasation due to ureteric perforation.

Conclusions: Ureteroscopy and Ho:YAG laser lithotripsy is an effective and relatively safe in the treatment of pediatric ureteric calculi.

Duhok Med J 2018; 12 (2):1-9.

Keywords: Ureteroscopy, ureteric calculi, laser lithotripsy.

U symptomatology were described since the time of Hippocrates in the 4th century BC, and has presented into the modern times, and recent data suggest that it is becoming increasingly common. The prevalence of stone disease increased steadily throughout the end of 20th century for both men and women from 3.8% during 1976-1980 to 5.2% during 1988-1994¹. Similarly, the incidence rate of urolithiasis in the pediatric population also increased 4% per year from 1984-2008². While part of this increase may be due to improved detection of small calculi with a higher quality imaging, the rapidly rising prevalence of obesity and diabetes mellitus may also play a role in the increased prevalence of stone disease³.

The first uretroscopy was performed by Young in 1912 when he advanced a cystoscope in an extremely dilated ureter⁴. The first flexible uretroscopy was performed fifty years later in 1964 when Marshall advanced a flexible scope through an open ureterostomy into renal pelvis⁵. In 1988 Ritchey et al pioneered the use of ureteroscopy for extracting lower ureteric calculi in children⁶. Since then

*Assistant Professor, Department of Surgery, College of Medicine, University of Duhok, Kurdistan Region, Iraq. **Urosugeon, Assalam Teaching Hospital, Ninavah Directory of Health, Ninavah, Iraq.

Correspondence author to: ALI A. RAMADHAN, ghafoor1964sulaiman@uod.ac Mobil +964750771476

EFFICACY AND SAFETY OF PEDIATRIC URETEROSCOPY IN THE

other workers have advocated ureteroscopy as an acceptable method for treating ureteric calculi at various levels in children⁷. The management of children with ureteral stone is usually a challenge for the treating urologist. Ureteral calculi are less frequently seen in children than in adults accounting for 7% of calculi in all age group⁸.

More than 80% of ureteral stones pass spontaneously and do not require any intervention ⁹. For stones that are unlikely to pass, treatment methods can be invasive or non invasive, depending on the presence of ureteral obstruction, intractable pain, urosepsis, persistent gross hematuria, the degree of stone impaction, patient expectation and surgeon experience ¹⁰.

Ureteral stones in children have been traditionally managed by extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy and has largely replaced open surgery during the last decades¹¹. However, besides the need to eliminate stone fragments, re-treatments are eventually required, and unlike in adults, SWL in children may require general anesthesia¹². Although the smaller dimensions of the pediatric genitourinary system that limit endourological in endoscopic procedures, advances equipment and widespread application of the Ho:YAG laser have made ureteroscopy a first-line treatment option for ureteral calculi in the pediatric population¹³. The principle of ureteroscopy is the retrograde introduction of aureteroscope through the ureterovesical junction, which allows the surgeon to perform either a diagnostic or therapeutic procedure in the ureter and, in some cases in the pelvis of the kidney. In the presence of a calculus in the ureter, the question to be answered is whether the stone will pass spontaneously or not. In general, the rate of spontaneous passage of a stone less than 4mm is related to its position in the ureter, 75% in the distal, 60% in the middle and 50% in the proximal ureter¹⁴. On the other hand, stones of 5mm-10mm have a spontaneous passage rate of 10 - 50% depending on the position at which they are located. Approximately 25- 50% of children with calculi in the ureter may need a surgical procedure, and ureteroscopy is а modality 15 .

Complications of ureteroscopy are variable in severity and graded according to the modified ClavienDendo grading system for surgical complications.

Resent study is for evaluating the efficacy and safety of ureteroscopy using Holmium: YAG laser lithotripsy as a modality for ureteral stone treatment in children.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

A prospective study of a 49 children (27 boy and 22 girls) with ureteral calculi underwent ureteroscopy and Holmium:YAG laser lithotripsy at the urology department in AL-Jumhori teaching hospital, Mosul, during the period between January 2013 and May 2014. All patients aged between 2 and 18 years were included in the study. Patients younger than two years, patients with bilateral obstructed kidneys and those who had ureteral reconstructive surgery were excluded from this study.

Pre-operative evaluation included a detailed history, clinical examination, urine analysis, renal function tests, abdominal ultrasonography, plain abdominal radiography (KUB) and

Duhok Medical Journal Vo

intravenous urography (IVU) were done for all patients. Abdominal CT scan was done when indicated. Pre-operative prophylactic antibiotics (third generation cephalosporin 50mg/kg or gentamicin 3mg/kg) was given to all patients. All ureteroscopic procedures were performed under general anaesthesia without prior ureteral dilatation (none of the patient had a stent prior to ureteroscopy).

A 6F semi-rigid ureteroscopy (KARL STORZ) is passed through the urethra to the bladder, the ureteric orifice is visualized and the ureteroscope is advanced to the ureter after a 0.025-inch guide wire passed up into the renal pelvis, in some cases when the guide wire does not pass due to impacted stone, the guide wire is inserted after partial lithotripsy of the stone.

Lithotripsy is performed using the Ho:YAG laser generator through a 365um flexible quartz fiber. A green Holmium-ion aiming beam facilitated accurate visualization and placement of the fiber tip on the stone surface. Treatment is usually started at an initial laser energy setting of 0.6 J and a pulse frequency of 8 Hz. both the pulse frequency and energy were continously increased until adequate stone fragmentation is achieved. Contineuous fluid irrigation is used with warm distilled water using closed system fluid pump which aids for clear visualization of stone during lithotripsy.

Lithotripsy is continued until the stone is fragmented into tiny particles which were left in situe for spontaneous passage. A stone forceps and Dormia basket were used in some cases for extraction of small stones after lithotripsy. The JJ stents were inserted at the end of the procedure unless the procedure was uncomplicated with minimal manipulation(4F 10-15cm for those less than 6 years and 4.7F 16-28cm JJ stents for those more than 6 years). The stents were removed 3 to 4 weeks later under general anesthesia. All children were evaluated by KUB on the first postoperative day to assess the stone fragmentation and JJ stent position.

Follow - up KUB, US of the patients were done at one and 3months after lithotripsy.

RESULTS

A total a 54 ureteroscopic procedures were performed to treat ureteric calculi in 49 patients. A 6F semi-rigid ureteroscope is usually passed without difficulty. The demographic characteristics of the patients are shown in **Table 1**, the patient's mean age was 6.3 ± 1.5 (2-13) years. The mean stone size (defined as the longest diameter as measured on plain abdominal radiography) was 8.2 ± 1.3 (4-14mm).

| Table:1 Patient's Demography and Stone's Parameters. | | | | | |
|--|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Number of patients | 49 | | | | |
| Gender (male: female) | 27:22 | | | | |
| Mean age (years) | 6.3 ± 1.5 (2-13) | | | | |
| Clinical presentation | (%) <i>n</i> | | | | |
| -Abdominal pain | 25 (51%) | | | | |
| -Urinary tract infection | 13 (27%) | | | | |
| -Hematuria | 8 (16.3%) | | | | |
| -Incidental | 3 (6%) | | | | |
| Stone side: | (%) <i>n</i> | | | | |
| -Right | 28 (57%) | | | | |
| -Left | 21(43%) | | | | |
| Stone size (mm) | 8.2 ±1.3 (4-14) | | | | |
| Stone location: | (%) <i>n</i> | | | | |
| -upper | 8 (16.3%) | | | | |
| -middle | 15 (30.6%) | | | | |
| -lower | 26 (53%) | | | | |

The presenting symptoms were: flank pain in 25(51%), urinary tract infection in 13(27%), hematuria in 8(16%) and incidental finding for extra urinary symptoms in 3(6%) patients. The stones were found on the right side in 28 patients and in the left side in 21. The calculi were located in the upper ureter in 8 (16%), mid-ureter in 15 (31%), and in the lower ureter in 26 (53%) patients. The mean pulse energy 0.8 j (range0.6-1.2), mean frequency used 8 Hz (range 6-10), and mean total energy required for fragmentation was 2 (range 0.08-5) KJ. The mean duration of the procedures was 25 minutes (range 15-60). Ureteric JJ stent were inserted at the end of the procedure in 45 patients (91%).

The JJ stents were left in situ for 3-4 weeks. Mean hospitalization was 2.5 (range2-5) days.

Forty-three patients were stone-free after first ureteroscopic procedure, accounting for a success rate of about 88%. Five caseshad incomplete stone fragmentation with migration of residual stone up into the pelvis and were treated with SWL and or by a second ureteroscopy.

Failure of ureteroscopy faced in one case with lower ureteric stone and ureteric stricture, the stone removed eventually with open surgery with JJ stent insertion at the same time due to extravasation.

Six out of 8 patients with upper ureteric stone, 13 out of 15 patients with midureteric stone and 24 out of 26 patients with lower ureteric stones were stone free after first ureteroscopy with a success rate of 75%, 86,6%, and 92.3% respectively. The overall success rate after first ureteroscopy was 87.9%, and achieving about 93.9% after second ureteroscopy (46 cases of 49 rendered stone free) as shown in **Table 2.**

Early post-operative complications were encountered in 11(22%) patients (fever in 7, frank hematuria in 3 and one case with false passage and extravasation that necessitates open ureterolithotomy).

| | Upper | Middle | Lower | Tota |
|---------------------------|---------|-------------|---------|-------------|
| Number of patients | 8 | 15 | 26 | 49 |
| Stone free after | 6 | 13 | 24 | 43 |
| first URS | (75%) | (86.7% | (92.3%) | (87.8 % |
| Stone free after | 7 | 14 | 25 | 46 |
| second URS | (87.5%) | (93.4%) | (96%) | (93.9 %) |
| Auxiliary measures | | | | |
| -SWL | 1 | 1 | 0 | |
| -open ureterolithotomy | | | 1 | |

DISCUSSION

Ureteroscopy has become a widely accepted modality in the management of ureteric calculi. Endoscorporial lithotripsy has gradually become the main technique for treatment of ureteric calculi. This progression has been on the bases of the development of appropriate endoscopes effective working instruments. and Currently, stones throughout the entire upper urinary tract can be treated endoscopically in children using semi-rigid or flexible ureteroscopes with proven effectiveness and safety¹⁵. The stone free rate was defined as the complete absence of stone fragments of any size on radiography at three months follow-up. The stone free rate following ureteroscopic lithotripsy for ureteral stone has been reported to be as high as $98-100\%^{16}$. The **Table 3,** shows the comparison of ourstudy with other four studies regarding thestone free rates.

In our study, the overall stone free rate after one session was 87.9%. This result is similar with Bassiri et al¹⁷. European Association of urology indicated the success rates of ureteroscopic lithotripsy in management of upper, middle and lower ureteral stone to be 74.2%, 96% and 92.4% respectively¹⁸. In our study, stone-free rates that we achieved were 75%, 86.7% and 92.3% for upper, middle and lower ureteral stone respectively. The success rates in the treatment of ureteric calculi are variable, as they are related to the patient characteristics, stone size, site and impaction, surgeon experience and quality of the technology used. The practice of routine dilatation of ureteral orifice and intramural ureter prior to performing ureteroscopic procedure remains controversial in children. There is a belief that a controlled dilatation using the balloon dilator or gradually dilating catheter may be less traumatizing to the ureter than dilatation with the ureteroscope itself. In our study, we didn't use active ureteral dilatation which was similar to findings of Al-bussaidy, Herndon et al, and Scarpa et al ^{13, 19,20}.

Among the currently available lithotripsy devices, laser lithotripsy has gained the most popularity. The safety and efficacy of Holmium:YAG laser lithotripsy makes itself to be the intracorporeal lithotripter of choice. The energy necessary to fragment the stones is delivered via small flexible fibers. Laser fragmentation is precise, producing easily passable stone fragments ¹⁵. The excellent results achieved with the Ho:YAG laser are attributed to its ability to fragment all stones regardless of their composition. Also, it is known to produce small stone fragments making retrieving of fragments with Dormia baskets or forceps to be easy or even unnecessary due to spontaneous passage. Furthermore, the Ho:YAG laser is known to generate weak shock waves, this result in less retrograde propulsion of the stone or it's fragments up to the kidney.

In our study no more attempts were made to extract the stone fragments, they were left in situ for spontaneous passage, with the aids of JJ stents. As the Ho:YAG laser acts by a photo thermal mechanism, a point of concern is the risk of thermal damage to the ureteral epithelium. However some technical considerations need to be emphasized, while this laser is being used. The laser fiber should be kept at least 1 mm from the ureteral wall mucosa to avoid thermal injury, and at least 2 mm from the tip of the ureteroscope to prevent damage to its lens, and the energy should be applied only when the laser fiber is seen to be in contact with stone surface ^{16, 21}. Furthermore, judicious irrigation is essential during laser lithotripsy to dissipate heat and facilitate visualization²².

Intra-operative and post-operative complications following ureteroscopy reported in literature include failure of negotiation, stone expulsion, ureteral perforation, hematuria, infection, ureteric stricture, and vesico-ureteral reflux¹². In our study post-operative complications were reported in 11 cases (22%), although higher than other studies but most of the complications in all studies are minor ones and depends mainly on the ureteroscopic

EFFICACY AND SAFETY OF PEDIATRIC URETEROSCOPY IN THE

experience (7cases of fever, 3 cases of gross hematuria which were managed conservatively. One case with ureteric injury due to impacted stone, which was extracted by open surgery at the same time). Accordingly, semi-rigid ureteroscopy and Ho; YAG laser lithotripsy is an effective and relatively safe in the management of ureteral calculi in children.

| Study | No. of operations/ No. of patients | Average age (years) | Mean stone size (mm) | Active ureteral dilatation | Stone free rate (%) | Complications rate (%) |
|------------------------|---|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| AL-Bussaidy et al.(13) | 47/43 | 6.2 | 12.6 | 0 | 93 | 8% |
| AL-Bassiri et al.(17) | 66/66 | 9 | 8 | 37.9 | 88 | 23% |
| Raza et al.(23) | 52/35 | 5.9 | 9.4 | 39 | 79 | 27% |
| Amjadi et al.(24) | 40/38 | 3.5 | 9.3 | 0 | 89.35 | 12.5% |
| Our study | 54/49 | 6.3-1.5 | 8.2-1.3 | 0 | 93.9 | 22% |

REFERENCES

- Curhan GC. Epidemiology of Stone Disease. UrolClin North Am. 2007; Aug; 34(3): 287-293.
- Dwyer ME, Krambeck AE, Bergstralh EJ, Milliner DS, Lieske JC, Rule AD. Temporal Trends in Incidence of Kidney Stones Among Children: 25year Population Based Study. J Urol. 2012; Jul:188(1):247-252.
- Cupisti A. Update on Nephrolithiasis: Beyound Symptomatic Urinary Tract Obstruction. J Nephrol. 2011; May-Jun: 24 suppl 18:S25-9.
- Young HH, Mckay RW. Congenital Valvular Obstruction of Prostatic Urethra.*EurGyne Obstet*. 1992; (48):509.
- Marshal VF. Fiber Optics in Urology. *J Urol.* 1994; Jan: 91:110-114.
- Ritchy M, Patterson DE, Kelalis PP, Segura JW. A Case of PaediatricUreteroscopicLasertripsy. J urol. 1988;139:1272-74.

- Lim DJ, Walker RD, Ellswroth PI. Newman RC, Cohen MS, Barraza MA, Stevens PS. Treatment of PaediatricUrolithiasis Between 1984 and 1994. *J Urol.* 1996;156(2):702-5.
- Rubenstien RA, Zhao LC, Loeb S, Shore DM, Nadler RB. Pre Stenting Improves Ureteroscopic Stone - Free Rates. *J Endo Urol.* 2007;21(11):1277-80.
- Satar N, Zeren S, Bayazit Y, Aridogan LA, Soyupak B, Tansug Z. Rigid Ureteroscopy for the Trearment of Ureteral Caliculi in Children, *J Urol.* 2004; Jul 172(1): 298-300.
- Landan FH, Gofrit ON, Shapiro A, Meretyk S, Katz G, Shenfeld OZ, et al. Extracorporial Shock Wave Lithotripsy is Highly Effective for Ureteral Caliculi in Children. J Urol. 2001; Jun165 (6p2):2316-19.
- Thomas JC, Demarco RT, Donohoe JM, Adams MC, Brock JW 3rd, Pope Jc 4th. PaediatricUreteroscopic Stone

Duhok Medical Journal Volume 12, Issue2, 2018

Management. J Urol. 2005;Sept.174(3):1072-4.

- El Asmmy A, Hafez AT, Eraky I, Elnahas AR, El keppaney HA. Safety and Outcome of Rigid Ureteroscopy for Management of Ureteral Caliculi in Children, *J Endourol.* 2006; Apr 20(4):252-5.
- Al Busaidy SS, Prem AR, Medhat M, Al Bulushi YH. Ureteric Caliculi in Children. Preliminary Experience with Holmium:YAG Laser Lithotripsy. *BJU Int.* 2004; Jun 93(9):1318-23.
- Minevich E, Management of Ureteric Stone in Paediatric Patients. *Indian J* urol. 2010;26(4):564-67.
- Minevich E, Defoor W, Reddy P, Nishinaka K, Wacksman J, Sheldon C, et al. Ureteroscopy is Safe and Effective in Prepubertal Children. J Urol. 2005;174(1):276-9.
- 16. Lingeman JE, Lifshitz DA, Evan AP. Surgical Management of Urinary Lithiasis. in Walsh PC, Retic AB, Vanghan ED, Wein AG, Kavoussi LR, Novik AC, et al, Campbell-Walsh Urology 10th edition. Philadelphia: Saunders: 2012 : 3361-451.
- 17. 17- Bassiri A, Ahmadnia H, Darabi MR, Yonessi M. Transureteral Lithotripsy in Paediatric Practice. *J Endourol*. 2002; 16(4):257-60.

- Turk C, Knoll T, Petrik A, Sarica K, Skolarkos A, Straup M, Seirz C, EAU Guidelines on Urolithiasis. *Euro Ass of* uro. 2013;29-35.
- Herndon CD, Viamonte L, Joseph DB. Ureteroscopy in Children: Is there Need for Ureteral Dilation and Post Operative Stenting? *J Ped Urol.* 2006; 2(4):290-3.
- 20. Scarpa RM, De Lisa A, Porru D, Canetto A. Usai E. Ureterolithotomy in Children. *Urology*. 1995;46:859-62.
- Wollin TA, Techman JMH, Rogens VJ. Razvi HA, Denstedt JD, Grasso M. Holmium:YAG Laser Lithotripsy in Children. J Urol; 1999;162(5): 1717-20.
- 22. Scarpa RM, De lisa A, Orru D, Usai E. Holmium:YAG Laser Ureterolithotripsy. *EUR. Urol*.1999; 35(3): 233-8.
- Raza A, Smith G, Moussa T, Tolley D. Ureteroscopy in Management of Paediatric Urinary Tract Caliculi. J Endourol. 2005;19(2):151-8.
- 24. Amjadi M, KazemiRashed F, Sari Motlagh R, Sheikh Monazzah F. Transureteral Lithotripsy of Ureteric Caliculi in Children with Holmium:yttriumAlumnium Garnet (Ho:YAG) laser: J Laser Med Sci 2011;2(4): 148-51

ثوختة

کاریگهری و دننیایی بوونا دویربینا میزهجوویا زاروکان بو چارهسهریا بهرکیّن میزهجوویی لده ژاروویان

نامانج:بق هلْسەنگاندنا کاریگەری و دڵنیایبوونا دویربینا میزمجوویا زاروکان وبەردشکاندنا هاڵیمیوم:یاگ ڵێزمرژبوو چارمسەریا بەردێن میزمجوویی لدەڤ زاروویان.

نهخوش و شيواز: فمکولينهکا چاومروانکريه ٤٩ زاروويان بخوفه دگريت دژيني ١٣-٢ سالي کو دويربينا ميز مجوويني بۆ هاتيه نهنجام دان ژ جوري کارل ستورز ٦ فرمنج يا نيف توند و بهردشکاندمري هاليميوم ياگ ليزمر. قهباري بهرکان دمست پي دکهت ژ ١٤-٤ مليميتر بوون پالپشت ب تيشکا سوناري و تيشکا سيني يا زکي و تيشکا رمنگکريا جووبارين ميزي و تيشکا ميفراسي ژ بۆ هندمک نهخوشان.ههمي کريار بيت هاتينه کرن لبن بيهوشکرنا گشتي و شيّوي بهرد دمر هيناني و رايه لا جهي جهي بو هاتيه دانان لداويا کرياري.

دەرئەنجام: ژ سەرجەمتى ٤٩ نەخوشان ٤٣ ھاتىنە ئازادكرن ژ بەركان پشتى دويربين و شكاندنا ئىكى و پىنچىن دىتر پشتى دويربينا دوويى و ئىك نەخوش يى ھاتيە چارھىمركرن ب نىشتەرگەريا لابرنا بەرى مىزەجوويى ب كەلاشتنى پشتى مىزەجوو بريندار بووى ب دويربينى. سەرباركىن پشتى چارھىمريى ھاتنە دىتن لنك ١١ نەخوشان(٢٢٢) ، ٧ ژ وان نەخوشيا تايى و ٣ ژوان خوينبوونا مىزى يا ديار و ئىك ژ وان دەرچوون مىزى ژ مىزەجوويى ژ بەركونبونا مىزەجوويى.

دمرکەفتن: دویربینا میزمجوویێ و بەردشکاندنا ھاڵومیم یاگ لێزمر چارمسەریەکا کاریگەر و دڵنیایه تا رادمکێ ژ بۆ چارمسەریا بەرێن میزمجوویێ لدمڤ زاروویان.

الخلاصة

كفاءة وسلامة ناظور الحالب في معالجة حصى الحالب لدى الأطفال

الخلفية والأهداف: تقييم كفاءة وسلامة ناظور الحالب في معالجة حصى الحالب لدى الأطفال.

المرضى و طرق البحث:أدراسة مستقبلية اجريت ل 49 طفل (27 ذكر و 22 أنثى) أجري لهم ناظور الحالب مع تفتيت الحصى بالليزر للفترة مابين كانون الثاني 2013- أيار 2014. معدل عمر المرضى 6.3± 1.5 سنة . تم إجراء فحص التصوير بالأمواج الفوق الصوتية و أشعة الحوض والبطن, وأشعة الكلية الظلية لكل المرضى, وفي بعض الحالات مفراس البطن. كل العمليات أجريت تحت التخدير العام, بعد إكمال عملية التفتيت تم وضع قسطرة الحالب ل 45 من المرضى.

النتائج: من مجموع 49 مريض اعتبر 43 من المرضى خاليين من حصى الحالب بعد أول ناظور للحالب. 5من المتبقين اجري لهم ناظور الحالب للمرة الثانية بينما تعذر التفتيت في واحدة من الحالات نتيجة تضيق الحالب وإحتباس الحصى في أسفل الحالب مضاعفات مابعد العملية حدثت 11 مريضا 7منهم إرتفاع درجة الحرارة 3, تبول دموي , وفي أحد المرضى فشل التنظير مع جرح الحالب حيث أخرجت الحصاة بعملية جراحية.

الإستنتاجات: ناظور الحالب مع التفتيت بالليزر أثبت كفاءته وسلامته في معالجة حصبي الحالب لدى الأطفال.